

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/696,666	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KAHN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Joseph D. Torres	<b>Art Unit</b> 2133	

**All Participants:**

(1) Joseph D. Torres.

(2) Dane Butzer.

**Date of Interview:** 21 October 2004

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  
 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: N/A.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 12:40pm

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
1-5, 7-22, 24-51 and 72-85

Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A

**Part II.**


**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*During a telephone conversation with Dane Butzer on 21 October 2004 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-5, 7-22 and 24-51.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)